Vicki L. Smith, OSB No. 854410 smithv@lanepowell.com Parna A. Mehrbani, OSB No. 053235 mehrbanip@lanepowell.com LANE POWELL PC 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, Oregon 97204-3158 Telephone: 503.778.2100

Telephone: 503.778.2100 Facsimile: 503.778.2200

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

SOOTHING TOUCH, LLC, a New Mexico Limited Liability Company; and **SAT KARTAR S. BIRD**, a New Mexico Resident,

Case No. 3:10-cv-421-BR

Plaintiffs,

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM

V.

GOLDEN TEMPLE OF OREGON, LLC, an Oregon Limited Liability Company, and KARAM SINGH KHALSA, an Oregon Resident,

Defendants.

Defendants Golden Temple of Oregon, LLC and Karam Singh Khalsa (collectively, "defendants") answer and respond to plaintiffs Soothing Touch, LLC and Sat Kartar S. Bird's (collectively, "plaintiffs") Complaint as follows:

- 1. In answer to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 and 2, defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to these allegations and therefore deny these allegations.
- PAGE 1 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM

2. Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraphs 3 through 6.

3. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 7.

4. Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 8.

admit that the Asset Purchase Agreement and the Promissory Note contain certain terms and

In answer to the allegations contained in paragraphs 9 through 12, defendants

conditions which speak for themselves. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 9 through

12 that are inconsistent with or inaccurately state the terms and conditions of the Asset Purchase

Agreement or the Promissory Note. Defendants deny all of the remaining allegations contained

in paragraphs 9 through 12.

5.

6. Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 13.

7. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 14 through 20.

8. In answer to the allegations contained in paragraph 21, defendants admit that the

Asset Purchase Agreement contains certain terms and conditions which speak for themselves.

Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 21 that are inconsistent with or inaccurately state

the terms and conditions of the Asset Purchase Agreement. Defendants deny any remaining

allegations contained in paragraph 21.

9. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 22 through 23.

10. In answer to the allegations contained in paragraph 24, defendants admit that the

Equipment Purchase Agreement contains certain terms and conditions which speak for

themselves. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 24 that are inconsistent with or

inaccurately state the terms and conditions of the Equipment Purchase Agreement. Defendants

deny any remaining allegations contained in paragraph 24.

11. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 39.

12. In answer to the allegations contained in paragraph 40, defendants re-allege their

answers to paragraphs 1 through 39 above.

13. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 41.

PAGE 2 - DEFENDANTS' ANSWER. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM

- 14. In answer to the allegations contained in paragraph 42, defendants re-allege their answers to paragraphs 1 through 41 above.
 - 15. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 43.
- 16. In answer to the allegations contained in paragraph 44, defendants re-allege their answers to paragraphs 1 through 43 above.
 - 17. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 45 through 49.
- 18. In answer to the allegations contained in paragraph 50, defendants re-allege their answers to paragraphs 1 through 49 above.
 - 19. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 51 through 52.
- 20. Defendants deny each and every allegation that has not been specifically admitted above.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

21. Plaintiffs' complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

22. Plaintiff has failed to timely file plaintiffs' claims within the applicable statute of limitations.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Mitigation)

23. Each of the claims alleged by plaintiffs are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that plaintiffs failed to mitigate the alleged damages.

PAGE 3 - DEFENDANTS' ANSWER. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel/Unclean Hands)

Each of the claims alleged by plaintiffs are barred, in whole or in part, by the

doctrines of estoppel, unclean hands, and/or other equitable doctrines.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Accord and Satisfaction)

25. Defendants have made accommodations in satisfaction of the claims alleged in

plaintiffs' Complaint.

26. The additional accommodations and benefits received by plaintiffs from

defendants include, but are not limited to, accommodations for equipment issues, lack of a mud

formula, and consultation services; rearrangement of the payment plan to assist plaintiffs with

their business cash flow issues; allowing payments due under the Equipment Purchase

Agreement to be wrapped into the Asset Purchase Agreement; and other discounts.

COUNTERCLAIM

(Breach of Contract)

27. Defendant Golden Temple of Oregon, LLC, formerly Golden Temple of Oregon,

Inc. ("Golden Temple), entered into an Equipment Purchase Agreement with plaintiff Soothing

Touch, LLC ("Soothing Touch") on February 9, 2007.

28. Pursuant to paragraph 1 of the Equipment Purchase Agreement, Soothing Touch

was required to pay \$114,000 to Golden Temple.

29. Golden Temple has satisfied all of its obligations under the Equipment Purchase

Agreement.

30. Despite demand for payments, Soothing Touch has failed to make payments as

required by the Equipment Purchase Agreement. This failure is a breach of the Equipment

Purchase Agreement.

PAGE 4 - DEFENDANTS' ANSWER. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND

COUNTERCLAIM

31. As a result of Soothing Touch's breach of the Equipment Purchase Agreement, Golden Temple has been and continues to be damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, defendants pray for relief as follows:

- 1. That plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that plaintiffs be awarded no relief;
- 2. On defendants' Counterclaim, for damages owed to Golden Temple of Oregon, LLC, in an amount to be proven at trial, plus pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- 3. For an award of defendants' reasonable attorney fees, costs, disbursements, and other expenses; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: June 21, 2010

LANE POWELL PC

By /s/ Vicki L. Smith
Vicki L. Smith, OSB No. 854410
Parna A. Mehrbani, OSB No. 053235
Telephone: 503.778.2100
Attorneys for Defendants

PAGE 5 - DEFENDANTS' ANSWER. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM